

From: David Healy healy_herqest@compuserve.com 16-Feb-2005 8:17 am
To: Philip Cowen
Subject: review

Phil

The Americans depose people.
Sounds good -
what it means is the other sides' lawyers get a chance to grill you under oath
in order to bring out
what they think are your strengths and weaknesses
and the strengths and weaknesses of the case being put up

This is prior to a Daubert case
which is where they apply to the Court to have the expert knocked out.

Two weeks ago in a deposition
GSK lawyers produced your review of the Creation of Psychopharmacology
- didn't ask for comments -
just entered it on the record.

This looks like positioning themselves
to be able in a few weeks time to claim that H's views are odd, not widely
shared.
Based pretty well solely on your review -
they didn't enter anything else on the record.

(This is all part of a process
that extends these days into accessing unpublished book reviews for
University Presses -
interesting developments for the academia-industry interface.)

If the review gets raised in the manner outlined above
I'm going to have to respond.
One way would be with a letter from you -
constructed to take the wind out of their claim

The alternative will involve me constructing an answer -
the risk in this latter case is one of over-reaching.
The temptation is to keep adding detail
to ensure the Court gets the message.

You only need to answer this email
if you think you can see some way to move this one forward.

David